## For the Northern District of California

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	GEORGE HRDINA,	No. C 11-05173 WHA
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION
13	WACHOVIA MORTGAGE	TO RECONSIDER
14	CORPORATION, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Pro se plaintiff recently filed a letter requesting reconsideration of a prior order deny	
18	plaintiff's request for a preliminary injunction. Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction	
19	was denied because he failed to demonstrate a likelihood of imminent harm and success on t	

ing n merits. There is nothing in the letter to justify reconsideration under Rule 60 of the dismissal order. Therefore, plaintiff's motion for reconsider is **DENIED**.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 20, 2012.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE